

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion					
State: <u>Alabama</u>			Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2009		
Overall Report <u>X</u>			Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? __ yes Two-parent Report ___ (check one) no		
PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)					
1. Name of eligibility change: Earned Income Disregard					
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 7/1/06					
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy that earned income (timely and accurately reported by the client) would be disregarded as follows: 1 st 3 months – 100% of earnings disregarded; followed by six months disregard at 50% of earnings. Changed to six months at 100%. Under the old policy most cases were ineligible at the end of the first three months and never got the 50% disregards due primarily to low benefit levels. New policy provides three additional months of eligibility and begins in month four of earnings.					
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):					
Example: July August September October November December					
1 2 3 4 5 6					
July – September: Disregard is the same as prior policy.					
October – December: New months of disregard (identified from JOBS statistics).					
For all new earners, the benefit of the change in policy occurs/begins in the 4 th month. The methodology will count new earners each month and delay the impact of the number of affected cases until the fourth, fifth and sixth months of disregard. Therefore, the maximum number of months considered per case is 3, which represents the change.					
NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.					
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: +3039 (+11)					

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion _____	
State: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2009
<p>1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Recipients</p> <p>2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06</p> <p>3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-compliance results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impart begins in the 7th month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.</p> <p>4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of recipients will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.</p> <p>NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.</p>	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-394 (-2)</u>	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion _____	
State: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2009
<p>1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Recipients</p> <p>2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06</p> <p>3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation lasting more than three months resulted in 25% reduction of payment for the assistance unit size for three months followed by a six-month disqualification. New policy: 3rd incidence of non-cooperation results in an immediate 12 month disqualification. Impact begins in the 7th month and continues for six months. Under old policy, the case would have been disqualified for six months. The new policy disqualifies the case for six additional months.</p> <p>4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of recipients will be identified by termination reason code in the State's automated system (FACETS). Those numbers will then be used beginning six months later for six months, i.e., the negative effect of the change begins with month 7 and the duration is six months.</p> <p>NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.</p>	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-94 (-1)</u>	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion _____	
State: <u>Alabama</u>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2009
<p>1. Name of eligibility change: Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants</p> <p>2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8-1-06</p> <p>3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: Award with benefit reduction followed by disqualification dependent on number of months of non-cooperation. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.</p> <p>4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) The number of applicants denied for non-cooperation with Child Support during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied applicants each month will be counted for two months.</p> <p>NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.</p>	
5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: <u>-4 (0)</u>	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion _____

State: Alabama

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2009

1. Name of eligibility change: Non-compliance with JOBS - Applicants
2. Implementation date of eligibility change: 8/1/06
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Prior policy: None. New Policy – deny the application. Ineligible for application period. Maximum of two months.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form) The number of applicants denied for non-compliance with JOBS during the application process will be identified by denial reason code entered into the State's automated system (FACETS). The number of such denied applicants each month will be counted for two months.

NOTE: For the impact of this change on the two parent caseload, the state determined the percent of the broader caseload affected by this change and applied that percentage to the two parent caseload thus concluding it would be similarly impacted. That impact is indicated in parenthesis.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: -3 (0)

Alabama

Earned Income Disregard

[illegible]

Non-Compliance with JOBS - Applicants

Time Of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2008												Grand Total
	Oct-07	Nov	Dec	Jan-08	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Sep-07	-2												
Oct-07	-2	-2											
Nov		-5	-5										
Dec			-2	-2									
Jan-08				-4	-4								
Feb					0	0							
Mar						0	0						
Apr							-1	-1					
May								-2	-2				
Jun									-1	-1			
Jul										0	0		
Aug											-1	-1	
Sep												-3	-3
Total	-4	-7	-7	-6	-4	0	-1	-3	-3	-1	-1	-4	-41

FY 2008 monthly average
-3

Alabama

Non-compliance with JOBS - Recipients - 12 Month Disqualification

Time Of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2008												Grand Total
	Oct-07	Nov	Dec	Jan-08	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Oct-07	-71								-53	-53	-53	-53	
Nov	-69	-69							-69	-69	-69	-69	
Dec	-71	-71	-71							-71	-71	-71	
Jan-08	-98	-98	-98	-98							-92	-92	
Feb	-66	-66	-66	-66	-66							-59	
Mar	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75							
Apr		-72	-72	-72	-72	-72	-72						
May			-58	-58	-58	-58	-58	-58					
Jun				-59	-59	-59	-59	-59	-59				
Jul					-58	-58	-58	-58	-58	-58			
Aug						-66	-66	-66	-66	-66	-66		
Sep							-43	-43	-43	-43	-43	-43	
Total	-450	-451	-440	-428	-388	-388	-356	-337	-348	-360	-394	-387	-4727
FY 2008 monthly average													-394

Alabama

Non-cooperation with Child Support - Applicants

Time Of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2008												Grand Total
	Oct-07	Nov	Dec	Jan-08	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Sep-07	-1												
Oct	-2	-2											
Nov		-1	-1										
Dec			-2	-2									
Jan-08				-4	-4								
Feb					0	0							
Mar						-3	-3						
Apr							-1	-1					
May								-2	-2				
Jun									-3	-3			
Jul										-7	-7		
Aug											-1	-1	
Sep												0	
Total	-3	-3	-3	-6	-4	-3	-4	-3	-5	-10	-8	-1	-53

FY 2008 monthly average

-4

Non-cooperation with Child Support - Recipients - 12 Month Disqualifications

Time Of Closure	Impact on Each Month in FY 2008												Grand Total
	Oct-07	Nov	Dec	Jan-08	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Oct-07	-20							-13	-13	-13	-13	-13	
Nov	-10	-10							-24	-24	-24	-24	
Dec	-16	-16	-16							-16	-16	-16	
Jan-08	-14	-14	-14	-14						-22	-22	-22	
Feb	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15							-14	
Mar	-22	-22	-22	-22	-22	-22							
Apr		-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11						
May			-21	-21	-21	-21	-21	-21					
Jun				-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10				
Jul					-15	-15	-15	-15	-15				
Aug						-13	-13	-13	-13	-13	-13		
Sep							-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	
Total	-97	-88	-99	-93	-94	-92	-84	-86	-89	-95	-102	-103	-1,122
FY 2008 monthly average													-94

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Overall Credit

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2009	
2				Date of Completion:	12/9/2008	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	Earned Income Disregard	3039		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	-3		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	-394		Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	-4		FY 2008 TANF Caseload	17,736	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	-94		FY 2008 SSP Caseload	0	
11				Total FY 2008 Caseload	17,736	
12				Excess MOE Cases in FY 2008	811	
13				Adjusted FY 2008 Caseload	16,925	
14				Caseload Decline	3,372	16.6%
15				Decline – Net Impact	5,916	
16						
17				Caseload Reduction Credit =		16.6%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	2,544				
27						
28						

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
Two-Parent Credit

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2009
2				Date of Completion:	12/9/2008	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit -- 2-Parent Caseload					
4						
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6	Earned Income Disregard	11		FY 2005 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	0	
7	NonCompliance JOBS Applicants	0		FY 2005 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	257	
8	NonCompliance JOBS Recipients	-2		Total FY 2005 Caseload	257	
9	NonCooperation CS Applicants	0		FY 2008 TANF 2-Parent Caseload	75	
10	NonCooperation CS Recipients	-1		FY 2008 SSP 2-Parent Caseload	0	
11				Total FY 2008 2-Parent Caseload	75	
12				Excess MOE 2-Parent Cases in FY 2008	3	
13				Adjusted FY 2008 Caseload	72	
14				Caseload Decline	185	72.2%
15				Decline – Net Impact	193	
16						
17				2-Parent Caseload Reduction Credit =		72.2%
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	Net Impact	8				
27						
28						

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Excess MOE Worksheet

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Alabama			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		2009
2				Date of Completion:		12/9/2008
3	Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet					
4						
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data		
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	20,040		Total Expenditures		
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	257		FY 2008 Total Federal Expenditures		\$91,479,709
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	20,297		FY 2008 Total MOE Expenditures		\$48,214,970
9	FY 2008 TANF Caseload	17,736		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)		\$139,694,679
10	FY 2008 SSP Caseload	0				
11	Total FY 2008 Caseload	17,736		Assistance Expenditures		
12				FY 2008 Federal Expenditures on Assistance		\$43,116,727
13	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY 2008 MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$2,534,480
14	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)		\$45,651,207
15	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	257		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance		32.68%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	257				
17	FY 2008 2-p TANF Caseload	75		Expenditures Per Case		
18	FY 2008 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case		\$7,876
19	Total FY 2008 Caseload	75		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance		\$2,574
20						
21				MOE and Excess MOE		
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)		\$41,828,393
23				Excess MOE Expenditures		\$6,386,577
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance		\$2,087,087
25	Adjusted Caseload Data					
26	Adjusted FY 2008 Overall Caseload	16,925		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		811
27	Adjusted FY 2008 2-parent Caseload	72		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE		3
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						